

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/678,632	TAKAHASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kara E. Geisel	2877	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Kara E. Geisel.

(3) _____.

 (2) Susan Pan, attorney of record.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 December 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

none.

Claims discussed:


9-10

Prior art documents discussed:

none.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
An examiner's amendment was discussed in order to add the limitations of claim 7, from which both of these claims originally depended, and remove the limitations of claim 1. See Office Action.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)